

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,193	GREY, NICHOLAS GERALD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Terrence R. Till.

(2) L. Carter.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obvious-type Double patenting

Claims discussed:

39-47, 52-62, 67 and 68

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant's representative to inform him that the above listed claims would be rejected under judicially created obvious double patenting over (at least) claims 35-37 of copending application 10/697,570. The examiner offered to cancel the claims via examiner's amendment to avoid the double patenting rejection. Applicant's representative agreed to cancel 39-47, 52-62, 67 and 68..